

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,775	03/03/2004	Ippci Nakamura	003510-149	3211	
	33,232			EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404			GILLIAM, BA	GILLIAM, BARBARA LEE	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			PAPER NUMBER	
			1752		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{A}			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/790,775	NAKAMURA, IPPEI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Barbara L. Gilliam	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	arch 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 25 and 27 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		• *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animon reco and according Office	7.00.001 07.101111 1.0 102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/871,724</u> . d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
P) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/2004.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

1. Claims 1-30 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Z² of general formulae (2) and (4) of instant claims 26 and 29, respectively, is not defined in the body of the claim.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,775

Art Unit: 1752

5. Claims 1-24 and 30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,037 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make a heat-mode image-formation material and printing plate comprising an infrared absorption agent with at least one surface orientation group based on the claims of Nakamura. Specifically Nakamura claims a heat mode-applicable image-formation material comprising a substrate and an image-forming layer on the substrate which contains an infrared absorption agent having at least one surface orientation group, specifically an infrared absorber having a fluorine-containing substituent having at least 5 fluorine atoms or a near-infrared absorber having a polymethine chain that has 5 or more carbon atoms and an alkyl group that has 8 or more carbon atoms connected to the polymethine chain via a nitrogen, oxygen or sulfur (claim 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-24, 26, 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al. (EP 1 093 934 A1).

Art Unit: 1752

a. The photosensitive composition and printing plate of Nakamura et al. meet the present limitations for the heat mode-applicable image-formation material and the planographic printing plate. Specifically the composition of Nakamura et al. comprises an infrared absorbing agent represented by formula (II) (claims; [0073]-[0075]; [0081]-[0107]; [0183]-[0190]). Specific examples of infrared absorbing agents represented by general formula (II) are taught on pages 33-43. Specifically note IR-64, IR-65 and IR-66 which comprise at least one surface orientation group, as defined by the present specification and claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 25 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- a. There is no teaching or suggestion in of an infrared absorber which would meet the specific structural requirements of general formulae (1) and (3) as required in respective claims 25 and 27.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,775

Art Unit: 1752

a.

The teachings of US 6,673,510 B1 and EP 1 382 460 A1, also by Nakamura

Page 5

and Kurita, are similar to EP 1 093 934 A1.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Barbara L. Gilliam whose telephone number is 571-272-

1330. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:00 AM -

5:30 PM.

a. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

b. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Saubara L. Gillian

Barbara L. Gilliam Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1752

bg

August 17, 2004